arXiv:1407.0076v1 [hep-th] 30 Jun 2014

A matrix model for strings beyond the c=1 barrier: the spin-s Heisenberg model on random surfaces.

J. Ambjørn [a,b], *Sh. Khachatryan* [c] and *A. Sedrakyan* [c,d]

[a] The Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen University
Blegdamsvej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark.
email: ambjorn@nbi.dk

[b] Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics (IMAPP)
Radbaud University Nijmegen
Heyendaalseweg 135, 6525 AJ, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

[c] Yerevan Physics Institute
Br. Alikhanyan str 2, Yerevan-36, Armenia.

[d] International Institute for Physics
Natal, Brazil.
email: sedrak@nbi.dk

Abstract

We consider a spin-s Heisenberg model coupled to two-dimensional quantum gravity. We quantize the model using the Feynman path integral, summing over all possible two-dimensional geometries and spin configurations. We regularize this path integral by starting with the R-matrices defining the spin-s Heisenberg model on a regular 2d Manhattan lattice. 2d quantum gravity is included by defining the R-matrices on random Manhattan lattices and summing over these, in the same way as one sums over 2d geometries using random triangulations in non-critical string theory. We formulate a random matrix model where the partition function reproduces the annealed average of the spin-s Heisenberg model over all random Manhattan lattices. A technique is presented which reduces the random matrix integration in partition function to an integration over their eigenvalues.

1 Introduction

Non-critical string theory was introduced by Polyakov, and one motivation was to describe quark confinement in QCD [1]. The idea was that in order to have a string theory away from the critical dimensions (26 for bosonic string and 10 for the superstring) one needs to take into account the conformal mode induced by the interaction between matter fields on a 2d surface and the intrinsic geometry of the surface. In this approach the study of non-critical string theory becomes equivalent to the study of two-dimensional quantum gravity coupled to certain conformal matter fields. The 2d geometry part of this coupled theory, which is the theory of the conformal mode, is denoted quantum Liouville field theory, and it is determined by the conformal anomaly. Using a conformal field theory bootstrap approach [2, 4, 5] it is possible to solve analytically the 2d quantum gravity theory coupled to conformal field theories with central charge c, but only when $c \leq 1$. The critical exponents of the conformal field theories are changed from their values in flat spacetime to the so-called KPZ-values when $c \leq 1$. Similarly, the quantum values of certain geometric observables are differ from what one naively would expect for a smooth geometry, and also dependent on the central charge, showing the backreaction of matter on geometry [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. The origin of the so-called $c = 1$ barrier is not yet very clear, but it has prevented us from using the bootstrap solution for a bosonic string in four dimensions, which is the situation one would expect to be relevant for the QCD string.

The wish to understand and to define rigorously non-critical string theory also led to a lattice formulation, presenting the two-dimensional random surfaces appearing in the string path integral as a sum over triangulated piecewise linear surfaces [11, 12, 14]. The sum over "random triangulations" (or "dynamical triangulations" (DT)) can be represented by matrix integrals [15, 16], and these matrix integrals can be modeled such that they represent the combined theory of random surfaces and the matter content living on these random surfaces. A typical example is to define an Ising spin model on a random triangulation the same way as one defines the Ising model on a regular triangulation. The combined sum over random triangulations and Ising spins can be represented by a certain (two-)matrix model [17]. The combined system (the annealed average) has a critical point which represents a $c = 1/2$ conformal field theory coupled to 2d quantum gravity. Similarly, one has matrix models describing a (p,q) rational conformal field theory coupled to 2d quantum gravity and the critical exponents of these annealed statistical models can be calculated and they agree with the corresponding KPZ exponents (see [18, 19] for reviews). However, this class of matrix models never allowed a useful representation of $c > 1$ conformal field theories coupled to 2d quantum gravity.

The main goal of the present article is to define a matrix model which will

Figure 1: Assignment of arrows to dual lattice

generate a partition function of matter fields with central charge $c > 1$ on random surfaces. In a previous paper [20] we have defined the XXZ Heisenberg model with spin 1/2 on a so-called random Manhattan lattices (RML) and have shown how to associate a matrix model with such a model. In particular we showed how to perform the integration over the angular part U of the random matrices M in the decomposition $M = UM_dU^+$, where M_d is diagonal. Such an integration is crucial when it comes to solving the matrix models encountered so far in the context of 2d gravity.

In the construction above a new class of random lattices enters, the random Manhattan lattices. They arise in a natural way in several situations. They appeared in [21, 22] as random surfaces embedded in a regular three-dimensional lattice. Consider the midpoints of the links of a lattice surface embedded in a 3d lattice, and join them by new, dual links with arrows, as it is shown in Fig.1. We obtain in this way a 2d-lattice with arrows, which will have a Manhattan structure, i.e. any two neighbour lines will have arrows with opposite directions. An example is presented in Fig.2. Any surface in 3d regular lattice will have as its dual a Manhattan lattice.

A second way of obtaining a RML is by starting from oriented double line graphs, like the ones introduced by 't Hooft (see Fig. 6), and then modify the double line propagator like shown in Fig. 4.

One is led to such lattices by studying the random surface representation of the 3d Ising model on a regular 3d lattice [21, 22], and via the study of random network of scatterings defined by S-matrix [23, 24]. Random networks led to the idea that an R-matrix could be associated to a random Manhattan lattice, and we will consider how to couple in general a matter system defined by an R-matrix to a random lattice. By summing over the random surfaces (i.e. taking the annealed average) we thus introduce a coupling between the integrable model and two-dimensional quantum gravity.

The necessity of introduction of RML appears also in studies of plateau-plateau transitions in quantum Hall effect (QHE). It appeared, that devoted to plateau transitions in QHE Chalker-Coddington model [25], which can be



Figure 2: A random surface on the 3d cubic lattice and the construction of the dual lattice surface, as described in the text.

formulated as a network with R-matrices of XX-model on regular Manhattan lattice [26], does not produce localization length index compatible with experiment [27, 28, 29, 30, 31]. One of possible solution of the problem is the introduction of the randomness of the network based on RML.

More precisely we start with an integrable model on a 2d square lattice, assuming we know the R-matrix. We then show that the same R-matrix can be used on a random Manhattan lattice. On the RML (see Fig.2, Fig.4) the links have fixed arrows which indicate the allowed fermion hopping. No hopping is allowed in directions opposite to arrows. The summation over the RMLs can be performed by a certain matrix integral related to the R-matrix. This matrix integral is somewhat different from the the conventional matrix integrals used to describe conformal field theories with $c < 1$ coupled to 2d quantum gravity. This give us the hope that one can penetrate the $c = 1$ barrier. Below we present the construction of a matrix model which will reproduce the partition function of the spin-s Heisenberg model [32] formulated on RML. The central charge c of excitations in this model equal to $\frac{3s}{s+1}$, and thus $c > 1$ for $s \geq 1$.



Figure 3: The double line graphs as introduced in gauge theories by 't Hooft.



Figure 4: A random Manhattan lattice created from a double line graph.

2 The matrix model for integrable spin-s Heisenberg model on random surface

The R-matrix of the spin-s Heisenberg model is given by the following expression [32]:

$$R = -\sum_{j=0}^{2s} \prod_{k=1}^{j} \frac{\lambda - k\eta}{\lambda + k\eta} P^j, \tag{1}$$

where

$$P^j = \prod_{l=0, l\neq j}^{2s} \frac{\vec{S}\otimes\vec{S} - x_l\, 1\otimes 1}{x_j - x_l}, \quad x_j = \frac{1}{2}[j(j+1) - 2s(s+1)] \tag{2}$$

are projectors of the product of two spin-s states on an irreducible j-spin state. Here λ is a spectral parameter, while η is a parameter related to the classical R-matrix: when expanding R in powers of η the linear term in the expansion gives precisely the classical R-matrix.

We now attach it to the squares of the RML (Fig.4) with the index assignment shown in Fig. 5. Two neighbouring squares will share one of indices, and the same is thus the case for the corresponding R-matrices, and a summation over values of the indices are understood, resulting in a matrix-like multiplication of R-matrices.



Figure 5: Index assignment of the R-matrix

To a RML Ω we now associate the partition function

$$Z(\Omega) = \prod_{R \in \Omega} \check{R}, \tag{3}$$

where the summation over indices is dictated by the lattice. Our final partition function is defined by summing over all possible (connected) lattices Ω:

$$Z = \sum_{\Omega} Z(\Omega)\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mu|\Omega|} \tag{4}$$

where μ is a "cosmological" constant which monitors the typical size $|\Omega|$ of the lattice Ω. As long as we restrict the topology of lattices Ω entering in the sum (4), there will exist a critical μ_c such that the sum in (4) is convergent for $\mu > \mu_c$ and divergent for $\mu < \mu_c$. We will be interested in a limit where the average value of Ω becomes infinite, and this limit is obtained when μ approaches μ_c from above. The summation over the elements in Ω, i.e. the summation over a certain set of random 2d lattices, is a regularized version of the sum over 2d geometries precisely in the same way as in ordinary DT.

In order to represent the spin-s Heisenberg model with partition function (4), i.e. defined on an ensemble of RMLs, as a matrix model which at the same time will offer us a topological expansion of the surfaces spanned by the oriented ribbon graphs considered above, we consider the set of $(2s+1)N \times (2s+1)N$ Hermitian matrices. We label the entries of the matrices as $M_{\alpha\beta,ij}$, where α, β takes values $1, \cdots 2s+1$ and i, j takes values $1, \ldots, N$. The α, β indices refer to the spin-s Heisenberg model, while the i, j indices will be used to monitor the topological

expansion. Hermitian matrix can be diagonalized by a unitary transformation, i.e. for a given matrix M there exists a decomposition

$$M = UM^{(d)}U^{\dagger} \tag{5}$$

where U is a unitary $(2s+1)N \times (2s+1)N$ matrix and $M^{(d)}$ a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues $m^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii}$ which are real numbers.

Consider now the action

$$S(M) = M^{*}_{\alpha\beta,ij}\check{R}^{\alpha'\beta'}_{\alpha\beta}M_{\beta'\alpha',ij} - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty}\frac{d_n}{n}\,\mathrm{tr}\,M^n. \tag{6}$$

We denote the sum over traces of M as the potential. The matrix partition function is defined by

$$Z = \int \mathrm{d}M\ \mathrm{e}^{-NS(M)}. \tag{7}$$

When one expands the exponential of the potential terms and carries out the remaining Gaussian integral one will generate all graphs of the kind discussed above, with the R-matrices attached to the graphs as described. The only difference is that the graphs will be ordered topologically such that the surfaces associated with the ribbon graphs appear with a weight N^{χ}, where χ is the Euler characteristics of the surface. If we are only interested in connected surfaces we should use as the partition function

$$F = \log Z. \tag{8}$$

In particular the so-called large N limit, which selects connected surfaces with maximal χ, will sum over to the planar (connected) surfaces generated by F, since these are the connected surfaces with the largest χ.

The R-matrix (1) of any spin-s Heisenberg model can be written via generators $\lambda_a,\ a = 1\cdots(2s+1)^2-1$ of the fundamental representation of $SU(2s+1)$ as

$$\check{R}^{\alpha'\beta'}_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{a=0}^{(2s+1)^2-1}\tilde{I}_a\lambda^{\alpha'}_{a;\alpha}\otimes\lambda^{\beta'}_{a;\beta}. \tag{9}$$

We present here the explicit expression of the R-matrix for spin-1 case. ¿From (1) one can find

$$\check{R} = a\ 1\otimes 1 + b\ \vec{S}\otimes\vec{S} + c\ (\vec{S}\otimes\vec{S})^2, \tag{10}$$

where $S^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are spin-1 generators of SU(2) and

$$\begin{aligned} a &= -\frac{1}{3} - \frac{\lambda-\eta}{\lambda+\eta} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{\lambda-\eta}{\lambda+\eta}\frac{\lambda-2\eta}{\lambda+2\eta}, \\ b &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\lambda-\eta}{\lambda+\eta} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\lambda-\eta}{\lambda+\eta}\frac{\lambda-2\eta}{\lambda+2\eta} \\ c &= \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\lambda-\eta}{\lambda+\eta} + \frac{1}{6}\frac{\lambda-\eta}{\lambda+\eta}\frac{\lambda-2\eta}{\lambda+2\eta}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

It is easy to see that at $\lambda = 0$ we have a=1, b=c=0. One can write the spin-1 $\check{R}$ defined by formula (10) as a 9×9 matrix

$$\check{R}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a+2c & 0 & 0 & 0 & b+c & 0 & 0 & 0 & b+c \\ 0 & a+c & 0 & -b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a+c & 0 & 0 & 0 & -b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -b & 0 & a+c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b+c & 0 & 0 & 0 & a+2c & 0 & 0 & 0 & b+c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a+c & 0 & -b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -b & 0 & 0 & 0 & a+c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -b & 0 & a+c & 0 \\ b+c & 0 & 0 & 0 & b+c & 0 & 0 & 0 & a+2c \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

By use of the standard SU(3) generators

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \lambda_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \lambda_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

a simple calculation shows that indeed the R-matrix (10) has the form (9) where

$$\tilde{I}_a = \Big(a + \frac{4c}{3}, \frac{c}{2}, -\big(b + \frac{c}{2}\big), \frac{c}{2}, -\big(b + \frac{c}{2}\big), \frac{c}{2}, -\big(b + \frac{c}{2}\big), \frac{c}{3}, \frac{c}{3}\Big) \tag{14}$$

Our aim is to decompose the integration over the matrix entries of M into their radial part M_d and the angular U-parameters. This decomposition is standard and the Jacobian is a square of the Vandermonde determinant. When we make

that decomposition the potential will only depend on the real eigenvalues $m^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii}$ and for the measure we have:

$$\mathrm{d}M = \mathrm{d}U \prod_{\alpha,i} \mathrm{d}m^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii} \prod_{\alpha,i<\beta,j} \left|(m^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii} - m^{(d)}_{\beta,jj})\right|^2. \tag{15}$$

However, the problem compared to a standard matrix integral is that the matrices U, introduced by the transformation (5), will appear quartic in the action (6). Thus the U-integration does not reduce to an independent factor, decoupled from the rest. Neither is it of the Itzykson-Zuber-Charish-Chandra type.

In order to perform the integral over U we pass from the expression (10), which is given in the fundamental representation, to a form where we use the adjoined representation. Let us choose a basis t^A for Lie algebra of the unitary group $U((2s+1)N)$ in the fundamental representation. The Hermitian matrix M can also be expended in this basis:

$$M = C_A t^A, \qquad \mathrm{tr}\, t^A t^B = \delta^{AB}, \tag{16}$$

where the last condition just is a convenient normalization. For a given U belonging to the fundamental representation of $U((2s+1)N)$ the corresponding matrix in the adjoined representation, $\Lambda(U)$, and the transformation (5) are given by

$$\Lambda(U)_{AB} = \mathrm{tr}\, t^A U t^B U^\dagger, \qquad C_A = \Lambda_{AB} C^{(d)}_B, \tag{17}$$

where $C^{(d)}_B$ denotes the coordinates of $M^{(d)}$ in the decomposition (16). The transformation (5) is now linear in the adjoined matrix Λ and the action (6) will be quadratic in Λ. However, we pay of course a price, namely that the entries of the $((2s+1)N)^2 \times ((2s+1)N)^2$ unitary matrix Λ satisfy more complicated constraints than those satisfied by the entries of the $(2s+1)N \times (2s+1)N$ unitary matrix U. We will deal with the this problem below. First we express the action (6) in terms of the eigenvalues $m^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii}$ and Λ. For this purpose it is convenient to pass



Figure 6: Index assignment of the R-matrix and the R^c matrix.

from the representation (9) of the R-matrix to the cross channel (see Fig. 6), which is achieved by:

$$\left(\check{R}^c\right)^{\beta\beta'}_{\alpha\alpha'} = \check{R}^{\alpha'\beta'}_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{18}$$

which amounts to making a particle-hole transformation $0 \leftrightarrow 1$ for the indices α' and β. After some algebra one obtains for spin-1 case:

$$\left(\check{R}^c\right)^{\beta\beta'}_{\alpha\alpha'} \;=\; (2b-a)\; 1\otimes 1 + b\;\vec{S}\otimes\vec{S} + (a+c-b)\;(\vec{S}\otimes\vec{S})^2, \tag{19}$$

For the spin-s case we write

$$\left(\check{R}^c\right)^{\beta\beta'}_{\alpha\alpha'} = \sum_{a=0}^{(2s+1)^2-1} I_a \lambda^{\alpha'}_{a;\alpha}\otimes\lambda^{\beta'}_{a;\beta}. \tag{20}$$

where $\lambda_0 \equiv 1$, the identity $(2s+1)\times(2s+1)$ matrix. For the spin-1 case one has from (19):

$$I_a = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2(a+2b+4c)}{3}, a+c-b, -(a+b+c), a+c-b, -(a+b+c),\right.$$
$$\left. a+c-b, -(a+b+c), \frac{2(a+c-b)}{3}, \frac{2(a+c-b)}{3}\right) \tag{21}$$

Using the cross channel R-matrix (20) the action (6) becomes:

$$S = M^*_{\alpha\beta,ij}\left(\check{R}^c\right)^{\beta\beta'}_{\alpha\alpha'}\delta^{i'}_i\delta^{j'}_j M_{\beta'\alpha',i'j'} - V(M). \tag{22}$$

Let τ^μ, $\mu = 1,\ldots,N^2$ denote the generators of the Lie algebra of $U(N)$, appropriately normalized such that

$$\delta^{i'}_i\delta^{j'}_j = \tau^\mu_{ij}\tau^\mu_{i'j'}. \tag{23}$$

If we insert (23) into the action (22) we obtain

$$S = \mathrm{tr}\,\left(M^\dagger\lambda^a\tau^\mu\right) I^a \mathrm{tr}\,\left(\lambda^a\tau^\mu M\right) \tag{24}$$

where we can view $\lambda^a\tau^\mu$, $a = 0,1,\cdots(2s+1)^2-1$ and $\mu = 1,\ldots,N^2$ as the $((2s+1)N)^2$ generators t^A of the Lie algebra of $U((2s+1)N)$. Formulas (16) and (17) with the generators $\lambda^a\tau^\mu$ read

$$m^{(d)}_{a,\mu} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,\left(M^{(d)}\lambda^a\tau^\mu\right), \tag{25}$$

where only the Cartan generators of λ^a and τ^μ give non-zero contributions and

$$\Lambda^{a\mu,a'\mu'} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,\left(\lambda^a\tau^\mu U\lambda^{a'}\tau^{\mu'}U^\dagger\right). \tag{26}$$

We now want to use (5) and (25) and (26) to express the matrix M in the action (24) in terms of $m^{(d)}_{a,\mu}$ and $\Lambda^{a\mu,a'\mu'}$ and we obtain

$$S = m^{(d)}_{a'\mu'}\Lambda^{a\mu,a'\mu'}I^a\Lambda^{a\mu,a''\mu''}m^{(d)}_{a''\mu''} - V(m^{(d)}_{a\mu}) \tag{27}$$

It is convenient to choose following basis for generators $t_A,\ A \equiv (a,\mu) \equiv (\alpha\beta, ij) = 1\cdots(2s+1)^2N^2$ of $U((2s+1)N)$: for the $(2s+1)N$-dimensional Cartan sub-algebra we take $t_{1,1} = 1 \otimes 1$ for the common phase factor and $t_{\alpha,i} = \lambda^{\alpha\alpha} \otimes (\tau_{ii} - \tau^{i-1,i-1}),\ \alpha = 1\cdots 2s+1,\ i = 2\cdots N$ for $(2s+1)(N-1)$ of the traceless generators. Finally we choose $t_{\alpha,1} = \lambda^{\alpha\alpha} \otimes \tau^{11} - \lambda^{\alpha-1,\alpha-1} \otimes \tau^{N,N},\ \alpha = 2\cdots 2s+1$ for the remaining $2s$ traceless generators, where

$$\left(\tau^{ij}\right)_{kl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}, \quad \left(\lambda^{\alpha\beta}\right)_{\alpha'\beta'} = \delta_{\alpha\alpha'}\delta_{\beta\beta'}. \tag{28}$$

For the non-diagonal generators we take $\lambda^{\alpha\beta}\tau^{ij},\ \alpha,\beta = 1\cdots 2s+1,\ i,j = 1\cdots N$, where either $\alpha \neq \beta$ or $i \neq j$. Then, for this choice of generators and from $m^{(d)}_{a,i} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,\left(M^{(d)} t_{\alpha,i}\right)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} m^{(d)}_{1,1} &= \mathrm{tr}\, M = \sum_{\alpha=1\cdots 2s+1; i=1\cdots N} m^{(d)}_{a,ii} \\ m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i} &= m^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii} - m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i-1\ i-1}, \qquad \alpha = 1\cdots 2s+1, \quad i = 2\cdots N \\ m^{(d)}_{\alpha,1} &= m^{(d)}_{\alpha,11} - m^{(d)}_{\alpha-1,NN}, \qquad \alpha = 2\cdots 2s+1. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

with the rest of elements $m^{(d)}_{a,ij} = 0$. It is convenient to impose following order for real eigenvalues

$$m^{(d)}_{\xi} = \left(m^{(d)}_{1,i}\cdots m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i}, m^{(d)}_{\alpha+1,i}\cdots m^{(d)}_{2s+1,N}\right), \tag{30}$$

then the term $m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i}$ can be numbered as $m^{(d)}_{(\alpha-1)N+i}$.

2.1 The integral over angles: Flag manifolds

We now change the integration over the unitary matrices $U((2s+1)N)$ in formula (15), which are in the fundamental representation, to the unitary matrices $\Lambda((2s+1)N)$ in the adjoined representation. Rather than using the Haar measure expressed in terms of the U-matrices we should express the Haar measure in terms of the Λ-matrices.

Since Hermitian matrices M can be regarded as elements in the algebra $u((2s+1)N)$, the action of Λ, defined by the formula (17) on its diagonalized form (29), will form an orbit in the algebra with the basis consisting of all diagonal matrices. Diagonalized elements of M are invariant under the action of the maximal abelian (Cartan) subgroup $\otimes U(1)^{(2s+1)N}$ of $U((2s+1)N)$. Therefore the orbits are isomorphic to the factor space $\frac{U((2s+1)N)}{U(1)\otimes\cdots\otimes U(1)}$.

Moreover this factor space is isomorphic to a so-called flag-manifold, defined as follows (see [34, 35] and references there): A single flag is a sequence of nested complex subspaces in a complex vector space C_n

$$\{\varnothing\} = C_0 \subset C_{a_1} \subset \cdots \subset C_{a_k} \subset C_n = C^n \tag{31}$$

with complex dimensions $dim_C C_i = i$. For a fixed set of integers $(a_1, a_2 \cdots a_k, n)$ the collection of all flags forms a manifold, which is called the flag manifold $F(n_1, n_2, \cdots n_k)$, where $n_i = a_i - a_{i-1}$. The manifold $F(1, 1, \cdots 1)$ is called a full flag manifold, the others are partial flag manifolds. The full flag manifold $F(1, 1, \cdots 1)$ is isomorphic to the orbits of the action of the adjoined representation of $U((2s+1)N)$ on its algebra

$$F(1, 1, \cdots 1) = \frac{U((2s+1)N)}{\otimes U(1)^{(2s+1)N}} \tag{32}$$

The set of C_i hyperplanes in C_{i+1} is isomorphic to the set of complex lines in C_{i+1}. In differential geometry this set is denoted by $\mathbf{CP}^i$ (and also as Grassmanians $\mathbf{Gr}(1, i)$) and is called a complex projective space. Hence, the complex projective space is a factor space

$$\mathbf{CP}^i = \frac{U(i+1)}{U(i) \otimes U(1)} = \frac{S^{2i+1}}{U(1)} \tag{33}$$

where S^{2i+1} is a real $2i+1$ dimensional sphere.

According to description presented above the orbit of the action of the adjoined representation of $U((2s+1)N)$ on the set of normal matrices M is a sequence of fiber bundles and locally, on suitable open sets, the elements of the flag manifold can be represented as a direct product of projective spaces (the fibers)

$$\frac{U((2s+1)N)}{\otimes U(1)^{(2s+1)N}} \simeq \mathbf{CP}^{(2s+1)N-1} \times \mathbf{CP}^{(2s+1)N-2} \times \cdots \mathbf{CP}^1 \tag{34}$$

In simple words we have the following representation of the orbit: any diagonalized Hermitian matrix in the adjoined representation has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} M^{(d)}_{a\mu} \quad = \quad & \Big(\underbrace{m^{(d)}_{2s+1,N}, 0, \cdots 0}_{2(2s+1)N-1}, \underbrace{m^{(d)}_{2s+1,N-1}, 0, \cdots 0}_{2(2s+1)N-3}, \cdots \underbrace{m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i}, 0, \cdots 0}_{2((\alpha-1)N+i)-1}, \\ & \cdots \quad \underbrace{m^{(d)}_{1,2}, 0, 0}_{3}, m^{(d)}_{1,1} \Big) \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

The action of the adjoined representation Λ on this M transforms it into the elements of $\frac{U((2s+1)N)}{\otimes U(1)^{(2s+1)N}}$ presented in (34) where $\mathbf{CP}^{(\alpha-1)N+i-1}$ represents image of the part $\underbrace{m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i}, 0, \cdots 0}_{2((\alpha-1)N+i)-1}$.

This implies that the measure of our integral over Hermitian matrices M can be decomposed into the product of measures of the base space (the diagonal matrices) and the flag manifold (the fiber)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}\Lambda &= \prod_{i=1,\,\alpha=1}^{N,\,2s+1} dm^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii} \prod_{k=1}^{(2s+1)N-1} \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{CP}^k] \\ &= \prod_{i=1,\,\alpha=1}^{N,\,2s+1} dm^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii} \prod_{k=1}^{(2s+1)N} \mathcal{D}\Big[\frac{S^{2k-1}}{S^1}\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

However, since our action (27) is invariant over $\otimes U(1)^{(2s+1)N}$ (one $U(1)$ per marked segment in (35)) we can extend the integration measure from (36) to

$$\mathcal{D}\Lambda = \prod_{i=1,\,\alpha=1}^{N,\,2s+1} dm^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii} \prod_{k=1}^{(2s+1)N} \mathcal{D}\Big[S^{2k-1}\Big] \tag{37}$$

In other words, we suggest that the action of Λ on the segments $\underbrace{m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i}, 0, \cdots 0}_{2((\alpha-1)N+i)-1}$ in (35) form vectors $m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i} z^r_{\alpha,i},\ (r = 1 \cdots 2(\alpha-1)N+i)-1$ where the coordinates $z^r_{\alpha,i}$ can be considered real parameters belonging to the unite spheres $S^{2((\alpha-1)N+i)-1}$.

In order to write the measure of integration over the spheres S^{2k-1} we embed them into the Euclidean spaces R^{2k} and define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}\Big[S^{2(\alpha-1)N+2i-1}\Big] &= \delta\Big(\sum_{r=1}^{2(\alpha-1)N+2i} [z^r_{\alpha,i}]^2 - 1\Big) \prod_{r=1}^{2(\alpha-1)N+2i} dz^r_{\alpha,i} \\ &= \int d\lambda_{\alpha,i} \prod_{r=1}^{2(\alpha-1)N+2i-1} dz^r_{\alpha,i} e^{-\lambda^2_{\alpha,i}(1-\sum_{r=1}^{2(\alpha-1)N+2i-1}[z^r_{\alpha,i}]^2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where we have introduced Gaussian integrations over the real parameters $\lambda_{\alpha,i},\ \alpha = 1 \cdots 2s+1,\ i = 1, \cdots N$. These integrations reproduce the factors $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-\sum_{r=1}^{2(\alpha-1)N+2i-1}[z^r_{\alpha,k}]^2}}$ which arise from the δ-functions in (38) by integrations over the coordinates $z^{2(\alpha-1)N+2i}_{\alpha,i}$. We have omitted coefficients $\sqrt{\pi}/2$ in front of integrals on the right hand side of the expressions (38) since they unimportant for the partition function.

With this definition of the measure the partition function (7) can be written

as

$$\int \mathrm{d}M e^{-NS(M)} = \int \prod_{\alpha=1,\, i=1}^{(2s+1),\, N} \mathrm{d}m^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii} \mathrm{d}\lambda_{\alpha,i} \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{2(\alpha-1)N+2i} dz^r_{\alpha,i} W(m^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii}) e^{-S(m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i}, \lambda_{\alpha,i}, z^r_{\alpha,i})}, \tag{39}$$

where $W(m^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii}) = \prod_{\alpha,i<\beta,j} \left|(m^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii} - m^{(d)}_{\beta,jj})\right|^2$ is the square of the Vandermonde determinant and

$$S(m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i}, \lambda_{\alpha,i}, z^r_{\alpha,i}) = \sum_{\alpha=1,\, i=1}^{2s+1,\, N} \Big[|m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i}|^2 \sum_{r=1}^{s(\alpha,i)} |z^r_{\alpha,i}|^2 I^r_{\alpha,i} + \lambda^2_{\alpha,i}\big(1 - \sum_{r=1}^{s(\alpha,i)} [z^r_{\alpha,i}]^2\big) - V(m^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii}) \Big]. \tag{40}$$

Here $s(\alpha,i) = 2(\alpha-1)N+2i-1$, while $I^r_{\alpha,i}$ is defined in accordance with expression (20)

$$I = \Big(\overbrace{I_a, I_a, \cdots \underbrace{I^1_{\alpha,i}, \cdots I^{s(\alpha,i)}_{\alpha,i}}_{s(\alpha,i)}, \cdots I_a, I_a}^{N^2} \Big), \tag{41}$$

where each row-vector I_a has $(2s+1)^2$ elements, given in the adjoined representation of U(2s+1). The total length of I is precisely $(2s+1)^2 N^2$ (as it should be). For the spin-1 case the explicit expression for I_a is given by Eq. (21). The $2s+1$ elements in I^a appear in a specific sequence in I as defined in eq. (41). However the partition function is independent of this choice (which is just our arbitrary choice) after integration. $I^r_{\alpha,i},\ r = 1, \cdots s(\alpha,i)$ denote the elements placed in the position (α,i) in (41).

As one can see we have in the partition function (40) simple Gaussian integrals over $z^r_{\alpha,i}$. These can be evaluated and we are left with integrals over $m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i}$ and $\lambda_{\alpha,i}$ only. It is convenient to rescale the Lagrange multipliers and introduce $\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha,i} = |m^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii}|^{-1} \lambda_{\alpha,i}$. Then, after performing the Gaussian integrals, we obtain

$$Z = \int \prod_{\alpha=1,\, i=1}^{2s+1,\, N} \mathrm{d}m^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii} W(m^{(d)}_{a,ii}) \prod_{\alpha=1,\, i=1}^{2s+1,\, N} \frac{1}{|m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i}|^{s(\alpha,i)-1}} \int \prod_{\alpha=1,\, i=1}^{2s+1,\, N} \mathrm{d}\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha,i} \cdot \prod_{\alpha=1;i=1}^{2s+1,N} e^{-V(m^{(d)}_{a,ii}) - |m^{(d)}_{a,i}|^2 \tilde{\lambda}^2_{\alpha,i}} \prod_{\alpha=1;i=1}^{2s+1,N} Z_{\alpha,i}(\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha,i}). \tag{42}$$

where

$$Z_{\alpha,i}(\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha,i}) = \prod_{r=1}^{s(\alpha,i)} \frac{1}{(I^r_{\alpha,i} - \tilde{\lambda}^2_{\alpha,i})^{1/2}} \tag{43}$$

Let us demonstrate that the Gaussian integration over the adjoined representation matrices Λ in (42) correctly reproduces the partition function (7) when interaction is absent, i.e. $V(M) = 0$. In this case the integral over normal matrices M in the fundamental representation of $U((2s+1)N)$ can easily be performed directly and the result is:

$$Z_{V=0} = \frac{1}{Det[\check{R}^{\alpha'\beta'}_{\alpha\beta}]^{N^2/2}}, \tag{44}$$

where $\check{R}^{\alpha'\beta'}_{\alpha\beta}$ should be considered as a $(2s+1)\times(2s+1)$ matrix. For spin-1 case it can be calculated directly by use of expression (12) and we get

$$Z_{V=0} = \Big[(a+2b+4c)(a+c-b)^5(a+b+c)^3\Big]^{-N^2/2} \tag{45}$$

Let us first consider the simple case $N = 1$. In the general setup this corresponds to having the two shortest, length 3 and 1 segments in the sequence (35). The Vandermonde determinant cancels the m's in the denominator in the expression (42) of the partition function and integration over the m's leads to

$$Z = \int \prod_{\alpha=1}^{9} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha,1}}{\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha,1}} \frac{1}{(I^1_{\alpha,1} - \tilde{\lambda}^2_{\alpha,1})^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{[(a+2b+4c)(a+c-b)^5(a+b+c)^3]^{1/2}} \tag{46}$$

provided we place the λ-poles at zero and the λ-branch cuts at different sides of the real λ-axis. Here we have used expression (21) for $I^1_{\alpha,1}$.

Now consider a general N. For a generic i segment in (35) we first represent the multipliers $|m^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii} - m^{(d)}_{\beta,jj}|$ in the Vandermonde determinant as a sum over $m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i}$: For $(\alpha,i) > (\beta,j)$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |m^{(d)}_{\alpha,ii} - m^{(d)}_{\beta,jj}| &= |m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i} + m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i-1}| \qquad for \quad i > 1 \\ |m^{(d)}_{\alpha,11} - m^{(d)}_{\beta,jj}| &= |m^{(d)}_{\alpha,1} + m^{(d)}_{\alpha-1,N}| \qquad for \quad i = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

When using this decomposition in the Vandermonde determinant product, we observe that only the contribution of first terms $|m^{(d)}_{\alpha,i}|$ and $|m^{(d)}_{\alpha,1}|$ in (47) will cancel all $m^{(d)}$'s in the denominator of (42) and thus lead to a non-zero contribution.

By Cauchy integration as above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z(V=0) &= \int \prod_{\alpha=1;i=1}^{9,N} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha,i}}{\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha,i}} Z_{\alpha,i}(\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha,i}) \\ &= \frac{1}{[(a+2b+4c)(a+c-b)^5(a+b+c)^3]^{N^2/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Other terms in the decomposition (47) will result in $\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha,i},\ \alpha = 1, \cdots 9$ appearing in the denominator of the integral (48) with other powers than one, and the integration will give zero for these terms.

3 Conclusions

We have defined a matrix model which reproduces the partition function of an integrable spin-s Heisenberg model [32] on random surfaces. The random surfaces under consideration appear as random Manhattan lattices, which are dual to random surfaces embedded in a d dimensional regular Euclidean lattice. This formulation allows us to consider a new type of non-critical strings with $c > 1$, since $c = 3s/(s+1)$ for spin-s Heisenberg model and it is larger than one for $s \geqslant 1$.

We have shown that the matrix integral can be reduced to integrals over the eigenvalues of matrices. The important ingredient in the integration over angular parameters of the matrices (which are usually defined by the Itzykson-Zuber integral) is the reduction of the problem to an integration over unitary matrices in the adjoined representation, which is equivalent to flag manifold. In principle one can now try to apply standard large N saddle point methods for solving the resulting integrals over eigenvalues.

The approach presented here can be applied to other integrable models where one knows the R matrix.

4 Acknowledgement

A.S thanks Niels Bohr Institute and Armenian Research Council for partial financial support. JA acknowledges support from the ERC-Advance grant 291092, "Exploring the Quantum Universe" (EQU). The authors acknowledge support of FNU, the Free Danish Research Council, from the grant "quantum gravity and the role of black holes". Finally this research was supported in part by the Perimeter Institute of Theoretical Physics. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Industry Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Economic Development & Innovation.

References

[1] A. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B. **103**, 207 (1981); Phys. Lett. B. **103**, 211 (1981).

[2] D. Knizhnik, A. Polyakov, A. Zamolodchikov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **3**, 819 (1988).

[3] A. Belavin, A. Polyakov, and A. Zamolodchikov, Nucl.Phys. B (1984).

[4] D. David, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 3 (1988) 1651.

[5] J. Distler and H. Kawai, Nucl. Phys. B 321 (1989) 509.

[6] H. Kawai, N. Kawamoto, T. Mogami and Y. Watabiki, Phys. Lett. B **306** (1993) 19 [hep-th/9302133].

[7] Y. Watabiki, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **114** (1993) 1.

[8] J. Ambjorn and Y. Watabiki, Nucl. Phys. B **445** (1995) 129 [hep-th/9501049].

[9] J. Ambjorn, J. Jurkiewicz and Y. Watabiki, Nucl. Phys. B **454** (1995) 313 [hep-lat/9507014].

[10] J. Ambjorn, K. Anagnostopoulos, T. Ichihara, L. Jensen, N. Kawamoto, Y. Watabiki and K. Yotsuji, Nucl. Phys. B **511** (1998) 673 [hep-lat/9706009]. Phys. Lett. B **397** (1997) 177 [hep-lat/9611032].

[11] J. Ambjørn, B. Durhuus and J. Frolich, Nucl. Phys. **B257**[FS14],433 (1985).

[12] V.A. Kazakov, Phys. Lett. B **150**,282 (1985).

[13] V.A. Kazakov, I.K. Kostov and A.A. Migdal, Phys. Lett. B **157**, 295 (1985).

[14] F. David, Nucl. Phys. **B257** [FS14],45 (1985).

[15] D. Gross and A.A. Migdal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**,127 (1990);
M. Douglas and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B **335**, 635 (1990).

[16] E. Brezin and V.A. Kazakov, Phys. Lett.B **236**, 144 (1990).

[17] V. A. Kazakov, Phys. Lett. A **119** (1986) 140.
D. V. Boulatov and V. A. Kazakov, Phys. Lett. B **186** (1987) 379.

[18] P. H. Ginsparg and G. W. Moore, In *Boulder 1992, Proceedings, Recent directions in particle theory* 277-469. and Yale Univ. New Haven - YCTP-P23-92 (92,rec.Apr.93) 197 p. and Los Alamos Nat. Lab. - LA-UR-92-3479 (92,rec.Apr.93) 197 p [hep-th/9304011].

[19] P. Di Francesco, P. H. Ginsparg and J. Zinn-Justin, Phys. Rept. **254** (1995) 1 [hep-th/9306153].

[20] J. Ambjorn, A. Sedrakyan, Nucl. Phys. B 874, 877 (2013).

[21] A. Kavalov, A. Sedrakyan, Phys. Lett. B 173, 449 (1986),
A. Kavalov, A. Sedrakyan, Nucl. Phys. B **285**, 264 (1987).

[22] A. Sedrakyan - Nucl.Phys. **B 554 [FS]**,514 (1999).

[23] Sh. Khachatryan, R. Schrader, A. Sedrakyan, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 42, 304019 (2009).

[24] Sh. Khachatryan, A. Sedrakyan, P. Sorba, Nucl.Phys B 825, 444 (2010).

[25] J. Chalker and P. Coddington, J. Phys. C 21, 2665 (1988).

[26] A. Sedrakyan, Phys. Rev. B 68, 235329 (2003).

[27] K. Slevin and T. Ohtsuki, Phys. Rev. B 80, 041304 (2009).

[28] M. Amado, A. V. Malyshev, A. Sedrakyan, and F. Dom nguez-Adame, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 066402 (2011).

[29] J. P. Dahlhaus, J. M. Edge, J. Tworzydlo, and C. W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. B 84, 115133 (2011)

[30] H. Obuse, I. A. Gruzberg, and F. Evers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 206804 (2012).

[31] W. Nuding, 1 A. Kluemper, and A. Sedrakyan, arxiv:1405.4269v1.

[32] H. Babujian-Phys. Lett. A90, 479 (1982)
H. Babujian-Nucl. Phys. B 215 [FS7], 317 (1984).

[33] C. Itzukson, J. B. Zuber, J. Math. Phys. **21**, 411 (1980).

[34] M. Brion : Lectures on the geometry of flag varieties, In "Topics in Cohomological Studies of Algebraic Varieties", Trends in Math., Birkhuser, 2005.

[35] D.B. Fuks, In "Itogi Nauki i tekhniki. Serie Sovremennie problemi mathematiki. Fundamentalnie Napravlenia", Vol.12, p.253-314(1986) (Moscow, 1986).